

11 Risk management⁷²

Below we describe the risk and capital management system and the significant risks to which the Sava Re Group is exposed. These areas will be presented in more detail also in the Solvency and financial condition report of Sava Re as at 31 December 2018 that will be published on the Company's website not later than on 22 April 2019, and in the Solvency and financial condition report of the Group as at 31 December 2018 that will be published on the website on 3 June 2019.

11.1 Risk management system

The Sava Re Group management is aware that risk management is key to achieving operational and strategic objectives and to ensuring its long-term solvency. Therefore, the Sava Re Group is continuously upgrading the risk management system both at the Group company and Group levels.

The Group companies' risk culture and awareness of the risks to which they are exposed is essential to the security and financial soundness of the companies and the Group as a whole. In order to establish good risk management practices, the Group promotes a risk management culture with appropriately defined remuneration for employees, employee training, and relevant internal information flow, at the individual company and Group levels.

The Sava Re Group has implemented a risk strategy that defines the Group's risk appetite and policies that cover the entire framework of risk management, own risk and solvency assessments, and risk management for each risk category. Based on the Group's risk strategy and policies, individual Group companies set up their own risk strategies and policies, taking into account their specificities and local legislation. The adequacy of the risk strategy and policies is examined on a regular basis.

⁷² GRI 102-11

The risk management system at the individual company and Group levels is subject to continuous improvement. Particular attention is paid to:

- clearly-expressed risk appetite within the framework of the risk strategy, including operational limits,
- development of own risk assessment models and upgrading of the own risk and solvency assessment (hereinafter: ORSA),
- integration of the ORSA and risk strategy in the framework of business planning and shaping of the business strategy,
- integration of risk management processes into business processes,
- establishment of adequate risk management standards in all Group companies depending on the nature, level and complexity of risks to which companies are exposed.

11.1.1 Risk management organisation

Systematic risk management includes an appropriate organisational structure and a clear delineation of responsibilities.

The efficient functioning of the risk management system is primarily the responsibility of the Sava Re management board and the management board of each individual subsidiary. To ensure efficient risk management, the Group uses a three-lines-of-defence model, which clearly segregates responsibilities and tasks among the lines:

- The first line of defence constitutes all organisational units with operational responsibilities (development, sales, marketing and insurance management, provision of insurance services, financial operations, accounting, controlling, human resources and others).
- The second line of defence consists of three key functions (the risk management function, actuarial function, compliance function) and the risk management committee, if set up in the company.
- The third line of defence consists of the internal audit function.

The Group's risk management system has been set up based on the top down principle, taking into account the specificities of each individual company.

The management board of each company plays a key role and bears ultimate responsibility for the effectiveness of established risk management processes and their alignment with the Group's standards and the applicable legislation. In this regard, the management board is primarily responsible for:

- setting the risk strategy and approval of risk tolerance limits and operational limits,
- adopting policies relating to the risk management system,
- risk management processes,
- monitoring of operations in terms of risk and ensuring that risks are considered when taking business decisions.

The supervisory board of each individual company approves the risk strategy, risk management policies and the appointment of key function holders in the risk management system. In addition, the supervisory board analyses periodic reports relating to risk management. A risk committee has been set up within the supervisory board of the controlling company to provide expertise with regard to risk management in the Company and in the Sava Re Group.

The first line of defence of each individual Group company involves all company employees responsible for ensuring that operational tasks are performed in a manner that reduces or eliminates risks. Additionally, risk owners are responsible for individual risks listed in the risk register. Departmental executive directors, line and service directors ensure that the operational performance of the processes for which they are responsible are conducted in a manner that reduces or eliminates risks while taking into account the frameworks laid down in the risk strategy. The first line of defence is also responsible for monitoring and measuring risks, the preparation of data for regular reporting on individual areas of risk, and the identification of new risks.

Each Group company has set up the following three key functions as part of the second line of defence: the actuarial function, risk management function, and compliance function. In addition, the Group's large members have in place a risk management committee. The members of the risk management committee and key function holders are appointed by the management board; key function holder appointments additionally require the consent of the supervisory board. Each individual company ensures the independence of the key functions, which are organised as management support services and report directly to the management board. Their roles and responsibilities are defined in the policy of each key function or in the risk management policy that defines the risk management function.

The risk management function of each individual company is mainly responsible for setting up effective risk management processes and for the coordination of risk management processes already in place. It is involved in all stages of the processes of identification, assessment, monitoring, management and reporting of risks. It is also involved in the preparation of the risk strategy and the setting of risk tolerance limits. The risk management function regularly reports to the risk management committee (if set up), the management and the supervisory boards, the risk committee (Sava Re) and the Group's risk management function holder, and works in cooperation with the risk management function on an ongoing basis. Furthermore, it offers support to the management board in decision making (including in relation to the strategic decisions such as corporate business strategy, mergers and acquisitions, and major projects and investments).

The main tasks of the actuarial function in the risk management system comprise expressing an opinion on the underwriting policy, expressing an opinion on the adequacy of reinsurance arrangements, and independent verification and challenging of technical provision calculations, including assumptions, methods and expert judgement areas. The actuarial function of each individual company works in cooperation with the Group's actuarial function.

The main duties of the compliance function relating to the risk management system are: identification, management and reporting of any instances of non-compliance with regulations, including monitoring of the legal environment, analysis of existing processes regarding their compliance with internal and external rules, and any changes in regulations.

Apart from the key functions, the second line of defence at Sava Re and Zavarovalnica Sava also consists of a risk management committee. The Sava Re risk management committee is also responsible for the Group level. The committee includes the key representatives of the first line of defence with regard to the Company's risk profile. The holders of other key functions of the risk management system are also invited to the committee meetings. The committee is primarily responsible for monitoring the risk profiles of the Group and individual companies, analysing risk reports and issuing recommendations to the management board.

The third line of defence consists of the internal audit function. For Slovenian companies the function is organised as an outsourced internal audit engagement in Sava Re, and individual companies have their own internal audit functions. The internal audit function operates at the individual company and Group levels and is completely independent from the business operations and other functions. In the context of the risk management system, the internal audit function holders are responsible for independent analysis and verification of the effectiveness of risk management processes and internal controls that are in place.


Good practices from Sava Re's risk management model and the organisation of risk management are also transferred to other Group companies.

11.1.2 Components of the risk management system

Risk management is integrated into all stages of business management and is composed of the following key elements:

- risk strategy,
- risk management processes within the first and second line of defence, and
- ORSA process.

The components of the Sava Re Group risk management system are shown in the figure below.



11.1.2.1 Risk strategy

In order to establish a solid risk management framework, the management board, with the consent of the Sava Re supervisory board, approved the Sava Re Group risk strategy for the 2017–2019 period, which defines the Group's risk strategy based on its risk bearing capacity. The individual Group companies draft their own risk strategy by taking into account the framework of the Group's risk strategy. The Group document sets:

- the risk appetite,
- permissible levels of individual performance indicators and risks,
- risk tolerance limits.

The basic principle of the Group is to pursue its business strategy and meet the key strategic objectives while maintaining an adequate capital level.

The key areas on which risk appetite is based are:

- capital,
- liquidity,
- product profitability, and
- reputation of individual companies and the Group.

Each individual Group company sets its own risk strategy, risk tolerance limits and operational limits based on the Group's risk appetite. Risk tolerance limits are limits set for individual risk categories included in individual companies' risk profiles, determining approved deviations from planned values. These limits are set based on the results of the sensitivity analysis, stress tests and scenarios, and professional judgement.

Based on the risk appetite and risk tolerance limits, individual Group companies set operational limits, such as (re)insurance underwriting limits and investment limits in order to ensure that the activities of the first line of defence are in line with the set risk appetite. In addition, each Group company ensures that it has in place well-defined and established escalation paths and management actions in the case of any breach of operational limits.

For the purpose of periodic monitoring of compliance with the risk strategy, a minimal set of risk measures has been defined in individual Group companies that facilitate simplified monitoring of the current risk profile and capital position of each individual company and the Group, without having to carry out a complete calculation of the solvency capital requirement. The measures in individual companies and the Group are subject to continuous monitoring.

11.1.2.2 Risk management processes

Risk management processes are inherently connected with and incorporated into the basic processes conducted at the individual company and Group levels. All organisational units are involved in risk management processes.

The chief risk management processes are:

- risk identification,
- risk assessment (measuring),
- risk monitoring,
- determining appropriate risk control measures (risk management), and
- risk reporting.

Risk management processes are incorporated into all three lines of defence. The roles of individual lines of defence are defined in the risk management policy. Risk management processes are also integrated in the decision-making system; all important and strategic business decisions are also evaluated in terms of risk.

In the process of risk identification, each individual Group company identifies the risks to which it is exposed. The key risks compiled in each company's risk register, constituting the company's risk profile, are reviewed on a regular basis and amended with consideration for new risks as required. Risk identification at the Group level is conducted in the same way.

Risk identification in individual companies is both a top-down and a bottom-up process. The top-down risk identification process is conducted by the risk management function, the risk management committee and the management board of each Group company. Such identification of new and emerging risks is based on monitoring of the legal and business environment, market developments and trends, and expert knowledge; this process is mainly used with strategic risks, such as reputational risk and regulatory risk.

Bottom-up risk identification takes place in individual organisational units and with risk owners (first line of defence). A Group company's risk thus identified is categorised and incorporated into the relevant monitoring, measuring and reporting processes.

Risk identification is performed on an ongoing basis, especially as part of the business planning process and any major projects and business initiatives such as launching of a new product, investment in a new class of assets, acquisitions and other.

The Group has in place regular risk assessment (measurement) processes for all the risks to which individual companies or the Group are exposed. Both qualitative and quantitative methods are used to measure risk. The modelling development centre functions at the Group level to develop quantitative risk assessment models for the entire Sava Re Group.

The Group therefore measures risks:

- using the Solvency II standard formula,
- by calculating the overall solvency needs within the own risk and solvency assessment (ORSA),
- by conducting and analysing stress tests and scenarios,
- through qualitative risk assessment in the risk register,
- using various risk measures allowing simplified measurement and monitoring of the current risk profile.

Risk management

The management board of each Group company is responsible for risk management and the use of various risk management techniques and actions. In its decisions, the management board takes into account the cost benefit aspect of actions as well as recommendations, if any, issued by the risk management committee or key functions.

Whenever the need arises to adopt a new risk control measure, the relevant company conducts an analysis of the measure in terms of economic and financial viability. Elimination or mitigation of individual risks must be more cost effective than mitigation of the potential impact should the risk materialise, taking into full account the probability of such an event and all of its implications.

In practice, it is already in the business planning process that a Group company examines the impact of the business strategy on its capital position, both with regard to the regulator as well as with regard to the own risk and solvency assessment. If during the financial year, decisions are taken that have a significant impact on the risk profile but have not been assessed in terms of risk during the business planning process, the relevant company assesses the impact of such decisions on its risk profile and capital adequacy, and verifies compliance with the risk appetite. If a business decision could have a significant impact also on the Group's risk profile, such impact on the Group's risk profile and capital adequacy is also assessed. If any business decision does not comply with the risk appetite or any risk tolerance limit is exceeded, the company needs to document such deviation and take relevant action to resolve the situation.

Risk monitoring

Risk monitoring is conducted on several levels: at the level of individual organisational units and risk owners, risk management departments, the risk management committee, the management board, the supervisory board's risk committee (Sava Re) and at the supervisory board level of each Group company. In addition, each Group company's risk profile is monitored at the Group level in terms of impact on the Group's risk profile. A standard set of risk measures is defined for risk monitoring, and Group companies follow it on a regular basis. Both risks and risk management measures are subject to monitoring and control.

Risk reporting

Regular risk reporting has been set up in the large Group company and Group levels. Risk owners report on each category of risk to the risk management function, including a predetermined set of significant risk measures and qualitative information. Based on this, the risk management function in cooperation with risk managers prepares a risk report covering each individual company's entire risk profile. The report is first discussed by the company's risk management committee (if the company has one), followed by the management and supervisory boards. The report is sent by the company's risk management function to the Group's risk management function.

11.1.2.3 Own risk and solvency assessment

In addition to these risk management processes, a company also conducts its own risk and solvency assessment (hereinafter: ORSA), as defined in the own risk and solvency assessment policy. ORSA is a process that includes the identification of the differences between the Group's risk profile and the assumptions of the standard formula, the own assessment of solvency needs, capital adequacy projections, stress tests and scenarios, and the establishment of the link between the risk profile and capital management. In ORSA, all material risks, whether quantifiable or not, are assessed that may have an impact on the operations of the Group or a Group company from either an economic or a regulatory perspective.

As a rule, the ORSA process is conducted annually; an ad hoc ORSA is performed in the event of a significant change in the risk profile. EU-based Group companies report to the regulator on the ORSA (at least) on an annual basis. Every year, ORSA is more closely integrated with other processes, in particular with risk and capital management, and business planning. The Group's risk management committee and company management boards are actively involved in the ORSA throughout the process. Employees from different departments take part in the process, as we wish to obtain as complete and updated a picture of the company's risk profile as possible.

The Sava Re Group carries out the ORSA process in order to understand the own risk profile and the standard formula and to analyse the impact of the changes to the risk profile on capital adequacy over the next three years. ORSA is an integral part of the decision-making process and contributes to the key decisions and business strategy of the Group being adopted with consideration of risks and associated capital requirements. Based on the Group ORSA results, we also check the compliance of the business strategy with the risk strategy. This establishes the link between the business strategy, the risks taken in the short, medium and longer term, and the capital requirements arising from those risks and with capital management.

11.2 Capital management

Capital management at the Group level is defined in the capital management policy of the Sava Re Group and Sava Re, which sets out the objectives and key activities associated with capital management. Capital management is inseparably linked with the risk strategy, which defines the risk appetite.

The Group's objectives of capital management are:

- solvency, in the range of the optimal long-term capitalisation as defined in its risk strategy;
- adequate degree of financing flexibility;
- ability to achieve adequate profitability for operating segments that tie up capital;
- ability to achieve an adequate return on capital or adequate dividend yields for shareholders.

The Group manages its capital to ensure that each Group company has available, on an ongoing basis, sufficient funds to meet its obligations and regulatory capital requirements. The composition of own funds held to ensure capital adequacy must comply with regulatory requirements and ensure an optimal balance between debt and equity capital. The level of eligible own funds in individual Group companies and within the Group is intended to meet the solvency capital requirements and to achieve the target credit rating and other objectives of the individual Group company and the Group as a whole.

An important input element in capital management and business planning is the Group risk strategy and its risk appetite set out in the strategy. For the purposes of determining a capital management framework, the Group risk strategy defines levels of capital adequacy. Group capital adequacy serves as the basis for determining the capital adequacy of each Group company.

The Group risk strategy in conjunction with capital adequacy is defined so as to meet regulatory requirements and the requirements of rating agencies, and to ensure that the controlling company has sufficient excess capital to cover any potential capital needs of subsidiaries in the event of a major stress scenario materialising in any of them. To this end, excess of eligible own funds is determined over the statutorily required.

As provided by the risk strategy, all Group subsidiaries must have, on an ongoing basis, a sufficient amount of capital available to meet solvency requirements. In addition, Sava Re Group subsidiaries subject to the Solvency II regime must have sufficient capital to absorb small to medium fluctuations in the SCR and own funds, which may result from the standard formula methodology and the possibility of small and medium stresses and stress scenarios materialising.

11.3 Material risks of the Sava Re Group

The Sava Re Group and Group members are exposed to the following risks:

- underwriting risks arising from (re)insurance contracts; these are associated with the risks covered under (re)insurance contracts and with directly related activities;
- market risk related to volatile prices of financial instruments, market prices of other assets and participations in other companies;
- credit risk arising from non-performance and changes in the credit rating of securities issuers related to the investment portfolio of (re)insurers, and of reinsurers, intermediaries and other business partners who have outstanding liabilities to the (re)insurers;
- operational risk associated with inadequate or inefficient internal processes, people and computer systems, or from external events;
- liquidity risks related to loss resulting from insufficient liquid assets when liabilities become due or increased costs of realisation of less liquid assets;
- strategic risk associated with achieving the company's strategic plans, and reputational risk, including any implications.

Individual risks are described in detail in the notes to the financial statements of the Sava Re Group (section 17.6) and the notes to the financial statements of Sava Re (section 23.5).